

St. Louis, MO 63105

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 6794S-000019US 1264 Thomas C. Pinkerton 06/29/2001 09/897,801 **EXAMINER** 06/10/2004 7590 AZPURU, CARLOS A Donald R. Holland Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 400 1615 7700 Bonhomme

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/897,801	PINKERTON, THOMAS C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		NONTHON FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u> March 2004</u> .		
- w/44-2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 85-92,94-102,105-113,116-136 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 85-92,94-102,105-113,116-136 and 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.  138 is/are rejected.  or election requirement.  er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abe ction is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No. $63/24/2 \infty \gamma$ en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) ☐ Notice 6) ☐ Other:		

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment after final and remarks filed 03/30/04.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The rejection under 35 USC 102(a) over D'Antonio is hereby withdrawn in view of applicants amendment.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejections are hereby maintained over 10/443,361, but is withdrawn over 09/606,909.

The following is a new rejection of the claims:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1615

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 85, 90, 91, 95, 96, 97, 99, 102, 106-108, 110, 113, 119, 121, 124-126, 129, 131, 134-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Pettis et al.

Pettis et al disclose a method for administration of a substance into the dermis as a bolus (see Abstract). Needles used in the claimed method are disclosed by Pettis et al at page 7, lines 15-28; and page 8, lines1-3. Microneedle arrays are disclosed at page 2, lines 5-9; page 7, line 7. Delivery is into the dermis (see Abstract, page 1, lines 25-28). Heparin is specifically recited for delivery of this type (see page 8, line 9). The instant method is anticipated by Pettis et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1615

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims Claims 85, 90, 91, 95, 96, 97, 99, 102, 106-108, 110, 113, 119, 121, 124-126, 129, 131, 134-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pettis et al.

Pettis et al disclose a method for administration of a substance into the dermis as a bolus (see Abstract). Needles used in the claimed method are disclosed by Pettis et al at page 7, lines 15-28; and page 8, lines1-3. Microneedle arrays are disclosed at page 2, lines 5-9; page 7, line 7. Delivery is into the dermis (see Abstract, page 1, lines 25-28). Heparin is specifically recited for delivery of this type (see page 8, line 9). Therefore, those of ordinary skill would have found it well within their skill to claim the instant method of administration by delivering a substance into the dermis given the teachings of Pettis et al. They would have further expected similar therapeutic results from the administration of drugs intradermally. There are no unusual and/or unexpected results which would rebut prima facie obviousness. As such, it would have been obvious to claim administration of s substance intradermally, and further to expect similar therapeutic results from the administration thereof given the teachings of Pettis et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

сa

CARLOS A. AZFURU! PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500 (